

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

Crim. Action No.: 1:20CR74-8
(Judge KleeH)

SIXTO MARQUEZ,

Defendant.

ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
CONCERNING PLEA OF GUILTY IN FELONY CASE [DKT. NO. 944],
ACCEPTING GUILTY PLEA, AND SCHEDULING SENTENCING HEARING

On December 15, 2021, the Defendant, Sixto Marquez ("Marquez"), appeared before United States Magistrate Judge Michael J. Aloï and moved for permission to enter a plea of **GUILTY** to Counts One and Fifty-Three of the Indictment, without a written plea agreement, charging him in Count One with Conspiracy to Distribute Controlled Substances, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1), 841(b)(1)(C), and 846, and in Count Fifty-Three with Unlawful Use of a Communication Facility, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 843(b) and 843(d)(1). Marquez stated that he understood that the magistrate judge is not a United States District Judge, and Marquez consented to pleading before the magistrate judge. This Court referred Marquez's plea of guilty to the magistrate judge for the purpose of administering the allocution, pursuant to Federal Rule of

USA v. MARQUEZ

1:20CR74

ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
CONCERNING PLEA OF GUILTY IN FELONY CASE [DKT. NO. 944],
ACCEPTING GUILTY PLEA, AND SCHEDULING SENTENCING HEARING

Criminal Procedure 11, making a finding as to whether the plea was knowingly and voluntarily entered, and recommending to this Court whether the plea should be accepted.

Based upon Marquez's statements during the plea hearing, and the Government's proffer establishing that an independent factual basis for the plea existed, the magistrate judge found that Marquez was competent to enter a plea, that the plea was freely and voluntarily given, that Marquez was aware of the nature of the charges against him and the consequences of his plea, and that a factual basis existed for the tendered plea. The magistrate judge issued a *Report and Recommendation Concerning Plea of Guilty in Felony Case* ("R&R") [Dkt. No. 944] finding a factual basis for the plea and recommending that this Court accept Marquez's plea of guilty to Counts One and Fifty-Three of the Indictment.

The magistrate judge **remanded** Defendant to the custody of the United States Marshals Service.

The magistrate judge also directed the parties to file any written objections to the R&R within fourteen (14) days after service of the R&R. He further advised that failure to file objections would result in a waiver of the right to appeal from a

USA v. MARQUEZ

1:20CR74

ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
CONCERNING PLEA OF GUILTY IN FELONY CASE [DKT. NO. 944],
ACCEPTING GUILTY PLEA, AND SCHEDULING SENTENCING HEARING

judgment of this Court based on the R&R. Neither Marquez nor the Government filed objections to the R&R.

Accordingly, this Court **ADOPTS** the magistrate judge's R&R [Dkt. No. 944], provisionally **ACCEPTS** Marquez's guilty plea, and **ADJUDGES** him **GUILTY** of the crimes charged in Counts One and Fifty-Three of the Indictment.

Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(3) and U.S.S.G. § 6B1.1(c), the Court **DEFERS** acceptance of the proposed plea until it has received and reviewed the presentence investigation report prepared in this matter.

Pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 6A1 et seq., the Court **ORDERS** the following:

1. The Probation Officer shall undertake a presentence investigation of Marquez, and prepare a presentence investigation report for the Court;

2. The Government and Marquez shall each provide their narrative descriptions of the offense to the Probation Officer by **January 14, 2022**;

3. The presentence investigation report shall be disclosed to Marquez, his counsel, and the Government on or before **February 28, 2022**; however, the Probation Officer shall not disclose any

USA v. MARQUEZ

1:20CR74

ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
CONCERNING PLEA OF GUILTY IN FELONY CASE [DKT. NO. 944],
ACCEPTING GUILTY PLEA, AND SCHEDULING SENTENCING HEARING

sentencing recommendations made pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32(e)(3);

4. Counsel may file written objections to the presentence investigation report on or before **March 14, 2022**;

5. The Office of Probation shall submit the presentence investigation report with addendum to the Court on or before **March 28, 2022**; and

6. Counsel may file any written sentencing memorandum or statements and motions for departure from the Sentencing Guidelines, including the factual basis for the same, on or before **April 18, 2022**.

The Court further **ORDERS** that prior to sentencing, counsel for Defendant review with him the revised Standard Probation and Supervised Release Conditions adopted by this Court on November 29, 2016, pursuant to the standing order entered by Chief Judge Groh, In Re: Revised Standard Probation and Supervised Release Conditions, 3:16-MC-56.

The pretrial conference on March 4, 2022, and the jury trial on March 22, 2022, are **CONTINUED** generally for this defendant.

The Court will conduct the **Sentencing Hearing** for Marquez on **May 6, 2022**, at **1:00 p.m.**, at the **Clarksburg, West Virginia** point

USA v. MARQUEZ

1:20CR74

ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
CONCERNING PLEA OF GUILTY IN FELONY CASE [DKT. NO. 944],
ACCEPTING GUILTY PLEA, AND SCHEDULING SENTENCING HEARING

of holding court. If counsel anticipates having multiple witnesses or an otherwise lengthy sentencing hearing, please notify the Judge's chamber staff so that an adequate amount of time can be scheduled.

It is so **ORDERED**.

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this Order to counsel of record and all appropriate agencies.

DATED: January 11, 2022

/s/ Thomas S. Kleeh
THOMAS S. KLEEH
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE